## CHAPTER IV

## THE FALL.

"Whoever associateth any other with God is like that which falleth from heaven \* \* \* This is so."—Al Qur'an, Chapter xxu.

As is evident from the heading of the present chapter, we are transporting the reader to the little-explored dark continent of mythology, where he must prepare himself for a fight with the Dragon of Superstition, whose very touch reduces all that lives to dust. This is the land of strange spectacles, of unlikely events and impossible relationships, the region where people seldom hesitate to pronounce, on little or no provocation, the most deadly and dreadful of curses which are also immediately effective on those with whom they are displeased; it is the realm of unmannish men. of un-womanish women, of un-goddish gods in short, of all that has its raison d'etre in a sense of delight at the discomfiture and breaking down of poor, normal commonsense. In this quaint and uncanny region is distilled the terrible vintage of unreasoning. fanatical faith a few drops of which suffice to produce a life-long insensibility of wits, its sense-stealing properties not being the result of any physical processes of fermentation, but of the magnetism of the magic personality of its Brewer, the High Priest of Superstition and Myth. He who would free his soul from the effects of the poisonous fumes of the harmful draught must, therefore first of all overpower this arch enemy of mankind

The stronghold of the monster is an interminable maze of winding passages, like the Cretan labyrinth where Minos of old kept his fearsome Minotaur; and the conditions of the combat are also not unlike those which Theseus had to accept at the time except that there is no Minos daughter to provide us with the ball of clue and the magic sword wherewith to destroy the fiend We must however, make the best of our opportunity, and bowing to the great Sarsaviat, the Goddess of Wisdom, accept the ball of clue of Cause and Effect and the sword of Discrimination which intellect places un our hands at Her bidding. Thus armed, we run no risk of being lost in the winding turns and blind alleys of the indiscriminate jumble of fiction and fact into which we are about to plunge, and shall also be spared the unholy dread of the residents of this strange land of Fantasy that constitutes the Fee

For, as we hope to make it clear by and by, mythology is mind's underground rendezvous for all those whose inability to bear the strong rays of the midday Sun of intellectualism forces them to wear the trited glasses of poesy. They resemble the suppositional prisoners of Plato. who, securely ited to their seats in a cave, with their backs towards its entrance, have to rely for their knowledge of the world, upon the shadows cast on the wall in their frint by all that pass by their prison. Occasionally they also overhear what those in light say when passing the cave, and amuse themselves by robing the unadorned, matter-of-fact conceptions of truth, thus obtained, in the richest raiment of charming allegory from Fancy's flowery stores, creating, at the same time, the most picturesque scenery and realistic atmosphere for the progeny of their exclusivant hought.

No doubt, the modern man, conscious of his incalculable 'bookloads' of learning, smiles in a superior way when confronted with ancient myth and legend; and certainly modern learning can never hope to find a less resentful object as a butt for its contemptuous ridicule than the 'crude' religion of the B. C days, and especially 'Heathen Pantheism' But we shall see that the man who is the first to laugh is not always the one to laugh the longest. For us mythology does not mean the record of humanity's childhood's thought when man may be said to be still groping his way in the dark, soon after his emergence from the monkey race, but the expression, in poetry's garb, of some of the most sober and valuable pronouncements of the only science which can raise a human being to the status and dignity of Gods Here and there one might possibly encounter a legend or two which fall short of this estimate, but they might be due to modification through incompetent hands. The thing tobe especially guarded against is the stuff of the type of nursery tales

which can be picked out almost always at a glance, on account of its not having the true ring of the genuine Aryan coinage. As regards the confusion which is likely to be caused in modern notions in certain respects from our thesis, well, they must be altered if found to be incorrect. Our notions of the origin of species, the migrations of nations and the like are not founded on a general or special revelation which cannot be altered or interfered with; they are the outcome of human speculation, hastily effected, and must vield to truth whenever good reason ordains it thus

As for the interpretation of these myths, some of them are too plain to need elucidation, others may be solved with a little thought; there be others still that seem to defy the unravelling skill, but are sure to yield to perseverance and study

As an instance of the first kind may be mentioned the story of Echo and Narcissus from the Greek Mythology, in which the personifications are of the plainest possible type, though by no means melegant in a literary sense. The wood-nymph whose power of speech had been taken away from her 'unless in answer to some other voice, is as plain a description of the phenomenon of echoas Narcissus, who, in love with himself, comes to grief in the foolish endeayour to be embraced in the arms of his own reflection in water is of personal vanity. The Hindu conception of Laksmi, the wife of Visnu, is another instance of this type. She represents prosperity which is a consort of Dharma (Religion), though, in evil times, so runs the curse of Gayatri, she is 'not to remain stationary in one place and to abide constantly by the vile, the inconstant, the contemptible, the simple, the cruel, the foolish and the barbarian. It would be superfluous to say that the description is not of a person. much less of a goddess, the wife of one of the greatest divinities of the Hindu Pantheon, but a pure and simple rendering of our conception of prosperity or wealth in poetical, metaphorical sneech.

The legend of the rivalry between Arachne, the daughter of a famous dyer in purple, and Pallas-Athene, the Goddess of Wisdom, is an instance of the second type, and yields its secret on a little reflection

The legend runs that Arachne was famed for her rare skill in working rich and wonderful patterns on her webs, and so high rose her name that even Pallas-Athene, the goddess of such arts. one day came to examine her work Now, Arachne was very proud of her skill, and denied that the excellence of her work had anything to do with the inspiration of the goddess, though men generally held otherwise. On meeting the goddess, face to face, she hurled opeh defiance at her, on which the goddess, stung to haughty disdain, offered to match her art against her earthly rival's. The challenge was accepted, and arrangements were made for the contest which began forthwith

"Two looms were set up, at which these eager rivals plied their best craft and cunning, with such swiftness that ere long on each the growing tissues shone in all the hues of the rainbow woven into marvellous devices, and shot with threads of gold. For her design Pallas chose the gods ranged upon the Acropolis at Athens, Jove's awful majesty in the midst. Poseidon smiting the rock with his trident, herself in full panoply among the rest, who was shown calling forth the olive tree that made her best gift to man About this central group were pictured scenes of impious mortals brought to confusion, rebellious giants turned to mountains, and, for a hint to her presumptuous rival, prating girls changed to screeching fowl Round all ran a border of olive foliage, as a sign of whose handiwork this was, with which few would dare to vie

"The irreverent Arachne, for her part, had picked out stores that cast shame or dension upon the gods Zeus and his brethren were seen wooing mortals in unworthy form, Appollo humbly serving, as a shepherd on earth. Dionysus playing his drunken pranks, nay, scandalous memories of old Cronos himself . all enclosed by a border of ivy leaves and flowers But these scenes were worked in with so cunning art, that one could believe to see real animals and real waves standing out before the eye upon that accusing web, the more offensive for its truth. So Pallas-Athene felt when she rose to examine the other's work. With a cry . she snatched at the too faithfully coloured cloth, tearing it to pieces, and showering blows upon the sly maker of such a masterpiece.

"How might mortal maiden stand before the fair-haired goddess when her eyes blazed with wrath? Thus unfairly beaten, Arachne-could not bear her spiteful shame. She stole away to hang herself in despair Nor even then was the wrath of Pallas glutted She bid her rival live, yet in what hateful form! For a spell was woven round her bloated body, her human features disappeared, her hair fell off, her limbs shrunk up, and thus poor Arachne hung as a spider, doomed for ever to spin as if mocking the skill that had moved Olympian envy".

Such is the story of the rivalry between the Goddess of Wisdom and her mortal rival, which according to the Encyclopedia Britannica (art Arachne) "probably indicates the superiority of Asia over Greece in the lexitle arts'

The *italucs* are ours, placed to mark the contrast between the 'scholastic' view and the spiritual interpretation of the legend which we shall now proceed to propound

The rivals represent the two powers of the soul known as omniscience, which being associated with divinity is personified as the Goddess of Wisdom, and the limited faculty of Intellect appertaining to the human soul in its unemancipated state. The difference between the two aspects of knowledge, human and divine, is well brought out in the patterns respectively woven by the competitors. one merely representing an impious conception of the world of Life after the most approved and up-to-date manner of the learned, but the other, descriptive of the true nature of things, spiritual and material, and of the consequences that flow from impiety and foolish prating. With its 'free-thinking 'proclivities, intellect cannot but resent the notion of the excellence of her handiwork being the result of the inspiration of the goddess, though there being only one source of knowledge which is infinite in its capacity and scope, finite thought cannot but derive its oil of existence from the original and. therefore, Olympian 'wells' Hence, truth is only in accordance with the vox populi in this instance Again, in so far as knowledge may be said to be a presentation of the world of reality, it is like a pattern.

<sup>\*</sup> The Classic Myth and Legend, by A R Hope Moncrieff

rich and variegated and woven in the web of consciousness. This explains the etymology of Arachne's name as well as the fact of the competition being held in the art of weaving Arachne's parentage—she is the daughter of a famous dyer in purple—serves to indicate her relation to will, which, in virtue of its high aspirations and the power to achieve its end is the only factor that can be truthfully described as the maker of kings, converting, as it does, the 'white' of colourless commonalty into the 'purple' of Royalty and Power

As regards the punishment of Arachne, it is intended to describe the true nature of the faculty of finite 'thought,' which, when stripped of the surplusage of false glory that has been added to thy the superabundant enthusiasm of some of its admirers, is but a simple weaver of 'presentations' in the warp and woof of consciousness. It is this very faculty which is described as intellect or understanding in modern thought, though we had better let Prof. Deussen explain its function to the 'uninitiated.' Writes the learned Professor (Elements of Metaphysics, pp. 25 - 291 - ...

"Every representation contains as such two supple nentary halves, a representme subject and a represented object. These two make with the representation not three (as a sneering epigram of Schiller has it), but one. No representation is without a subject, none without an object. Now, nothing exists for me but representations, therefore also no subject without an object, no object without a subject All objects of my subject are such, either immediately or mediately. As immediate objects I can never have anything else but affections of my ego, that is, sensations within me (represented physiologically as certain specific irritations of the sensory nerves extended in the organs of sense). All other objects, the whole external world and even my own body, as far as I regard it from without, are known to me only as mediate objects. It is only through the medium of these nerve irritations that I come in contact with them. Thus all data by which I attain to a knowledge of the external world, are restricted to these affections of the nerves which are given as immediate objects. They are the only thing which comes to my intellect from without, that is, independent of itself. Consequently all else, all that distinguishes wide-spreading nature with its immeasurable riches from those scanty affections of the nerves, must come from within, that is, must originate in my intellect itself If we compare the perceptual world, which is our representation, to a textile fabric in which subjective and objective threads intersect as warp and woof, then all that is objective, independent of myself, given a posteriori is limited to those affections of the nerves and may be compared to the thin, isolated threads of the THE FALL 118

shuttle The warp, on the contrary, which is previously, that is, a priors, stretched out to receive little by little these interweaving threads and work them into a fabric, is the natural, innate form of the subject, the totality of which forms just that which we call Understanding or brain. The task of metaphysics consists in finding out what things are in themselves, that is, independent of our intellect. We must, therefore, first of all, deduct from things that which our intellect contributes to them, namely, those forms which inhere in it originally, that is, a preori, and in which it ranges all materials furnished from without so as to weave them into experience. The following six criteria may serve to distinguish these a priori elements of knowledge or innate functions of the Understanding from those which come to it à posteriore, or through perception. They are to us what reagents are to the chemist. They may also be regarded as six magnets, by means of which we extract the iron of our is priors knowledge from the mixed ore of experience (1) Whatever is necessary to transform perception, given as affection, into perceptual representation, and, consequently, precedes all experience as a condition of its possibility, cannot originate in experience, but only within ourselves. (2) Whatever comes to the intellect from without, has the character of contingency it might be otherwise, or it might even be not at all, that is, I can imagine it as non-existent. Now, in my representation there are certain elements which cannot be thought away like everything else, from which it follows that they do not belong to that which exists independently of myself, but must adhere to the intellect itself (3) For the same reason, all data given from without merely suffice to state what is there, but not that something is necessarily so and not otherwise. Perception has no tongue for the word necessity, consequently all determinations of things, with which is associated the consciousness of necessity, must originate, not in perception, but within myself (4) From this it follows that sciences the doctrines of which have appdictic certainty, cannot have obtained it from perception, and that consequently that part of the perceptual world to which they refer must belong to the elements originally inherent in my intellect (5) Perception can only furnish me with sensations. These are, as such, isolated and fragmentary, for, difficult as it is to grasp at hist, the materials of sensation given from without contain only the sensations themselves, but not any connection between them, for such a connection is merely the link between the different sensations and therefore not itself sensation. Consequently that faculty, which makes of the variety of perception a unity and so creates coherence between my representations, must belong to me a priori. Therefore, whatever serves to establish the continuity of nature, belongs to the innate functions of my intellect (6) Perception can never embrace infinity. If, now, I find in my representations of things elements of which I am conscious as being infinite, it follows with certainty, that I have not taken them from perception, but must possess them as forms of intellect, wherefore, however far I proceed in representing, I can never get beyond them, in which precisely consists their infinity."

Such is the conception of Understanding, the original of the personification Arachne, which one of the "baby" progenitors of our race—and one not known to have been an abnormal or supernormal type of the tribe of the spish man, or mannish anthropoid, to which according to our most 'authentic' views he must have belonged—has bequeathed to us in the form of the story of the rivalry between the Olympian Patroness of wisdom and a conceited mortal maid It may be that after all Narcissus is not dead, since the echo of his spirit is still to be found moving on the face of the depthless waters of modern wisdom and wit

We must now pass on to a consideration of the legend of the fall which is the theme of the present chapter and which belongs to the most difficult type of mythical lore.

A very graphic and instructive account of the story is given in the first book of Moses, called Genesis, with which many of its are already familiar. Those who have read the account will readily confess that they were not much impressed with it as a narrative of facts, and simply remember it as a sort of nursery tale in which Adam, the here of the story, was punished (might be a little too harshly) by the Lord God for a seemingly trivial and insignificant act of disobedience, to which considerable importance was attached This, however, is exactly the reverse of what is actually meant by the story. We ought not to pass by this legend in a summary fashion. Remember, there is a gateway somewhere in this maze through which the powers of darkness crept in once to the rum of man and left a legacy of sin. The importance of the subject may be judged from the serious notice which Jehovah is said to have taken of the act of disobedience. Not content with punishing the guilty, with a degree of severity which appears, at first sight, to be out of all proportion to the trivial nature of the fault committed by them, he actually condemned their whole progeny, for all eternity, to a life of suffering and sorrow on earth. Such a subject cannot be considered a nursery tale by any means, and deserves the utmost attention on our part. The failure to see that the whole secret of human wretchedness and sin lay concealed in this apparently meaningless and mythical account of the Fall of Adam has been the cause which has delayed the discovery of truth so THE FALL 115

long, and each day augmented the estrangement between man and happiness

The circumstances surrounding the tragedy need not be gone into in detail. Briefly put, Adam, by the favour of the Almighty Jehovah, was residing in the Garden of Eden with his Consort Eve. Now, the Garden of Eden contained two wonderful trees which are of special interest to us. One of these, which stood in the midst of the Garden, was called the Tree of Life, and the other the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil It is the latter tree round which interest mainly centred in this little drama. The Lord Jehovah had commanded Adam, saying.

"Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat. but of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil thou shalt not eat of it. for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die "

For a long time neither Adam nor Eve thought of eating of the forbidden tree, and the legend adds:-

"And they were both naked, the man and his wife, and were not ashamed "

Then came the temptation The serpent approached the woman and tempted her to eat of the tree in question. She at first refused saving

"God hath said. Ye shall not eat of it, neither shall ye touch it lest ye die."

With more persuasion she yielded, because she saw that it was good for food and pleasant to the eye, and a tree to be desired to make one wise The immediate result of the transgression was that their eyes were opened They knew that they were naked, and fearing to appear in nakedness before God, hid themselves The result was that when God came to know of it, he punished all the three, the man, the woman and the serpent. To Adam, he said. "In the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread till thou return unto the ground; for out of it wast thou taken: for dust thou art and unto dust shalt thou return." And to himself the Lord God said, "Behold the man has become as one of us to know good and evil: " and, thinking "lest he put forth his hand, and take also of the tree of life, and eat, and live for ever," drove him out the Garden of Eden, and made provision for guarding

the approaches to the Tree of Life, by placing cherubim and a flaming sword which turned in every direction round it This, briefly, is the account of the catastrophe We can best interpret it by observing the results, which are said to have ensued from the act of transgression. Adam ate the fruit of the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil. but became ignorant!" That was one result. Another result was that he felt his nudity for the first time after the eating of the forbidden fmit. It was not the tree of sight, but the transgressors felt as if their eyes had been opened, and observed their nudity for the first time in their lives Yet another result which ensued from the act of transgression was fear Adam used to walk in the company of the Lord God, fearlessly and like a friend, but felt afraid to appear before him for the first time after the act of disobedience The most fatal consequence of all, however, was the loss of immortality. Man was not forbidden to eat of the Tree of Life till the commission of the sin but after that he was simply denied admission into the Garden where that Tree stood

Now, ordinarily, it is impossible to connect the transgression with the results that are said to have ensued from it. How strange that you eat knowledge and become ignorant, and lose your immortality also in the bargain! The true interpretation of the narrative must. therefore, be different from its apparent sense. When we dive beneath the surface of words the whole thing becomes clear and intelligible at once. The Garden of Eden is a representation of the soul-substance. namely, of Spirit in its natural purity. The trees are the attributes of spirit, of which two, the tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil and the Tree of Life are the most important. Therefore, are these placed in the centre of the Garden. The serpent is the emblem of desire for the gratification of the senses, that leads one astray, and in a direction opposed to that of the Divinity within. The tree of Life signifies life eternal, and that of Knowledge of Good and Evil refers to sensuous discrimination of the good and evil of things that constitute the not-Self

<sup>\*</sup> That the general condition of humanity is one of ignorance will hardly be rhenied by any one today

The fruit of the tree of knowledge of Good and Evil consequently represents the consequences of abandoning oneself to the enjoyment of the 'good things' of the world: for, metaphorically, the term fruit is merely expressive of the result or effect of an action or thought. The state of happiness and immortality prior to the fall represents the natural condition of the soul-substance, which, being simple in its essence, is all-knowing, blissful and immortality. The harmful influence of desire is evident from the warning: 'in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die!' This simply means that the foundation of the kingdom of death is constituted by desire. For death cannot touch a pure spirit, because it is simple in its essence and devoid of desire. The unredeemed soul has to spend its whole life in a deadly fear of death, and, in many cases, desire prematurely in consequence of terror.

The path of the story is now clear, and may be expressed in a few words. The Sat-chit-ananda state is the natural condition of the soul, and is opposed to a life characterized by the turning of the attention to the phenomenal not-Self show, without. Immortality and joy appertant to the Sat-chit-ananda state, but not to its antithesis, the condition of an unemancipated life. Hence, he who remains entangled in the good and evil of the external nature must remain in subjection to ignorance and death and with out happiness.

We now see why it is that man is forbidden to eat of the fruit of the tree of knowledge of good and evil in the allegory of the 'fall'. The injunction is not a whimsical or capricious one: but is intended in the best interests of man himself, the consequences that follow its disregard being the subjection to death and a joy-less miserable life.

The world whose good and evil we fain would experience, is a mere passing show, a pure illusion of names and forms, as it were Life alone is eternal and everlastingly good! There are no good and evil in life, but only happiness and joy and immortality, and the true illumination of Knowledge Divine! What, then, is the good of our knowledge of good and evil when there is in reality neither good nor evil in Life? Thus, the prohibition is one of great

moment to man: the God within has pointed out the most fatal belief that can be entertained-the notion of the body being the man. Nudity is felt only when we put limitations on the Self, identify it with the body of matter, and consider ourselves to be other than Divine. So long as we remain engaged in distinguishing and differentiating between the pleasures and pains springing from the objects of the senses in the outside world. losing sight of the inner Reality, we must naturally feel as if we had been stripped of our robes, as if our glory had departed from us, and as if we had been picked out of the Ocean of Love. and. with a rude hand, cast into a dreary waterless wilderness, where there are lamentations and the gnashing of the teeth! These dire consequences must inevitably follow a sense of estrangement from the Self, for it is the law. For he who is ignorant of Life and its real Blessings cannot by any possibility escape from the clutches of misery and death, that are the lot of embodied existence throughout the universe. To put the same thing in different words the failure to realize that the Atman, i.e., the individual soul, is the true God. is the cause of our 'nakedness. We have left our Godhood, and set up the little body of matter in its stead. What is in reality an ocean now regards itself as a drop, and must remain a drop till it begins to think itself to be the whole ocean, because the law is 'as one thinks so one become: '

The coats of skin which the Lord God is said to have made for Adam and Eve may well be taken to signify the limits put on their sense of individuality, which is regarded as extending to one's skin. In consequence of this sense of limitation, man considers himself as identical with the physical body, enveloped by the skin, and it is this false and erroneous sense of individuality which is at the root of all notions of duality between God and man, and is the selfish, grasping, appropriating, copy-righting self—the annarent man.

As regards fear, it is the creature of ignorance and the cause and forerunner of death. For he who does not believe in the immortality of the soul can never escape from the fear of death, and all its agonising terror. The physiological effect of fear is terrible, beyond description. It paralyses healthy action, generates worry, and is exceedingly pernicous to life. Worry corrodes and puls down the organism; fear and worry will finally tear the body to pieces. Fear is the antithesis of self-composure, and the cause of cowardice and terror. Under its influence the countenance becomes pallid, the face is pulled down, and the chest drawn in. It paralyses all the bodily muscles and consumes the vital force When one remembers that the fear of death is a constant terror with mankind, what wonder is there that death should actually supervene?

As for the effect of the emotion of fear, Darwin observes of The Expression of Emotions in Man and Animals. pp. 306 to 309):—

"The frightened man at first stands like a statue motionless and breathless. or crouches down as if instinctively to escape observation. The heart beats quickly and violently, so that it palpitates or knocks against the ribs . . . . the skin instantly becomes pale, as during incipient faintness . . . The hairs also on the skin stand erect, and the superficial muscles abover. In connection with this disturbed action of the heart, the breathing is hurried. The salivary glands act imperfectly; the mouth becomes dry, and is often opened and shut. One of the best marked symptoms is the trembling of all the muscles of the body, and this is often seen in the lips. From this cause and from the dryness of the mouth, the voice becomes husky or indistinct, or may altogether fail As fear incresses into an agony of terror, we behold, as under all violent emotions, diversified results. The heart heats wildly, or may fail to act, and faintness ensues, there is a death-like pallor; the breathing is laboured; the wings of the nostrils are widely dilated; 'there is a gasping and convulsive motion of the line, a tremor on the hollow cheek, a gulping and catching of the throat. All the muscles of the body may become rigid, or may be thrown into convulsive movements As fear rises to an extreme pitch, the dreadful scream of terror is heard. Great heads of sweat stand on the skin. All the muscles of the body are relaxed. Utter prostration soon follows, and the mental powers fail. The intestines are affected. The sphincter muscles cease to act, and no longer retain .he contents of the body. "

That death should result from a constant fear of it gnawing at the vitals and constantly interfering with the healthy activity of the body, is not strange at all; indeed, what is strange in the life of man is that he should live even as long as three score years or so. M. Jean Finot, analyzing the causes of death, in 'The Philosophy of Long Life' (pages 106 and 107) observes:

"We do not die even centenarians. Why this premature death? Why do we die? This is the eternal debate which has already given us so many explanatory treatises explaining nothing whatever. To attempt to give a resume of the reasons which have been given us by writers from theologians to biologists would be a task demanding hundreds of volumes, besides being completely useless. Let us, then, nut aside the thousand and one causes quoted by our predecessors, and let us give one which deserves more honour than is generally accorded to it. This despised cause is the fear of death. Man, arrived at a certain mental state, undergoes a sort of auto-suggestion of death. He then believes himself to have reached the end of his days, and feed as much on the fear of death as on bodily foods. From this moment onward death fascinates him. He hears its call with terror everywhere and always. The philosophic and salutary consciousness of a hereafter gives place to a cowardly and nervous fear of separation from life. The victim feeds upon this fear, intoxicates himself with it, and dies of it. The man possessed by this thought eats badly and digests even worse. His nervous system is disorganised and his organism remains deaf to the stimulus of the outer life. Regrets for the life which he believes to be fading away make him waste the vital resources of his organism in a limitless sorrow and nameless maladies."

No need to cute further authorities on this simple matter, which any one can observe by studying the effect of the emotion on himself and others with whom he comes in contact, suffice it to say that the culimitation is reached when individual will is completely paralysed and the organism left at the mercy of its natural enemies, which soon bring about its dissolution. We shall deal with the action of will on the body, and the effect of such action, in dealing with the question of immortality later on, when we come to discuss the theory of karma. Meanwhile let us conclude our explanation of the 'Fall

The curse pronounced on the erring couple and the tempter by the Lord Jehovah, after the transgression, is full of psychological import. If we would study ourselves, we should observe that we are endowed with two functions or psychical faculties, the will and intellect, which unite in the ego, or self, making it a complete whole. In the allegory of the Fall, Adam represents the ego, or the individualized will. Eve swinobiaces the intellect (the Sanskri huddh). and the serpent stands for manas (the lower, or sensuous mind.) Now, the intellect is the handmaid or servant of will, and, at the same time, its preceptor. It is the servant, because it has no other function than to discover, determine and adjust the relations of the will as individualized in its objective expression, the body, with other bodies. and, also, with its higher, i.e., the divine aspect; and it is its preceptor in so far as it controls and directs its activity into proper channels, and, by educating it, leads it to the realization of the Self in the highest degree, which is the final goal of education. The primary function of the intellect or understanding, however, is only that of presenting perceptions, which it spins out of the raw material of sensations furnished by the mind (manas) Hence, the word 'woman," from the Saxon wif men (wif in Saxon, and weib in German, from nuber, to weaver, signifies the one who weaves, and is, therefore, fully symbolical of the faculty of the intellect. As for the manas, its insidiousness is more deadly where it is backed by the intellect Hence, in the allegory of the fall. Eve is first tempted by the serpent, and then, in her turn, tempts the ego. The compiler of the Pentateuch. struck with the more intimate connection between the ego and the intellect than that between a child and its governess, likened it to that of husband and wife. The eyo depends on the intellect as a husband depends on his wife in household matters, and the latter studies its wants and comforts, and clings to it as a woman does to her husband

With this necessary prelude we may now proceed to elucidate the nature of the awful curses pronounced on the transgressors. Adam, being accused of disobedience by the 'still small voice of intuition, at once throws the blame on the woman, i.e., the intellect: and she, in her turn, points to the serpent as the cause of error and temptation. The anger of the Lord flashes first of all against the manua (serpent), and the terrible curse is uffered: "Upon thy belly shalt thou go, and dust shalt thou eat all the days of thy life." Hence, the manua 'xoes on its belly,' i.e., lives and moves in dust, or, in other words, is confined to the phenomenal, hence, chained to matter. The food of the manua consists of 'vibrations' which reach it through the media of the senses therefore, is it doned to eat vibrations, which, as such, are

recognized as the irreducible units of matter, hence dust. The enmity put between the woman and the serpent psychologically symbolizes the relation between the intellect and the manas The latter loves to wander from place to place, and is in its element when roving about; but the former needs rest for its higher work of forming concepts and judgments, and therefore, tries to check its fiery nature and hold it on to a point. Hence, the manas bites the heel of intellect to make it dance, and the latter crushes its head to stop its prancing. This is still more pronounced in the case of the seed of intellect, that is, Wisdom, Wisdom, the child of intellect, conceived in an immaculate manner, tries to break away from the manas, to regain his lost Godhood, but the manas, so long as its head is not crushed, bites his heel to drag him down to the world of the senses. each time that he endeavours to soar above it, for Self-realization This is best illustrated by the story of the child Krishna subduing the Serpent-King Kaliya, by crushing its head, in the river Jamuna (allegorically, the mind) The lesson to be learnt from the story is that, in order to attain Nirvana, or, in Christian terminology, to be redeemed, or saved, one must subdue the fiery sement of one's mind, i.e., the desiring manas' at an early date in life

The curse pronounced on the woman also refers to the nature of the Intellect of which she is the earthly symbol Conception and sorrow are her lot, as they are of the woman; for the intellect is noted for its prolific production of concepts, as well as, for sorrow, that is, worry, in developing, i.e., forming and delivering juddrents She is the hand-maid of the ego, her husband, and, consequently, must surrender herself to him, at his sweet will and pleasure, and conceive for him. The identity between Eve and intellect is put beyond doubt by Geneaus, III 20 Adam called his wife Eve, because she was the mother of all living, This description cannot possibly refer to the human female, because she cannot be regarded as 'the mother of all living,' in any sense, but it is fully applicable and appropriate to the Intellect, on whose functioning as the weaver of

<sup>&</sup>quot;Mana" is the Minotaur (man and beast) of the Greek mythology, its human element representing reason, the faculty of reflection, and the bovine, pure animalsem that is, uncentrolled sensuality

THE FALL 123

presentations in the warp and woof of consciousness, depends the perception, hence, in a sense, the existence, ot all forms

The punishment of Adam also is equally natural for the ego who exclusively employs his intellect to discriminate between the good and evil of phenomena. Since the pursuit of the phenomenal gives rise to the notion of duality, i.e., separation between God and man, and creates fear and worry, the fallen ego, personified as Adam, has necessarily to eat his bread in the sweat of his brow. Thistie and thorns, and sorrow and tears are his lot, for, as already observed, there can be no hanniness for him who leads a purely sensual life.

The curse uttered by the Lord also toreshadowed the perishable nature of the sensuous ego, yet it is not the death of the ego, but of the body alone. As a pure spirit, the ego is birthless and deathless, being eternal and uncreate, but in association with the impurities of sin, adhering to it in the shape of different kinds of vestments of matter, it is subject to birth and death both. Hence, the significance of the warning-" In the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die" The emphasis, it will be observed, is not on the day of death, since Adam did not die on the day that he ate of the forbidden fruit, but on the liability to death which is forced on all unemancipated souls by the companionship of matter As matter is atomic and constantly in motion, no organism composed of it can ever be permanent. Yet it is not the demise of the soul, but of the body alone which occurs in nature; the ego regards it as his own death, on account of having identified himself most intimately with his body. But this is a point for the future

The idea of nudity also arises with reference to embodied existence: for, as pure Effulgence, Spirit neither wears nor needs clothes.

Thus, the legend of the fall is intended to open our eyes to the great difference between a pure, perfect Soul and the ego of desires. the former is blissful and immortal, and has a raiment of glory, but the latter is nude and unhappy and is also firmly held in the claws of death. These differences, though seemingly great and unbridgeable, are nonetheless such as can be easily removed, since they are due, solely and simply, to the element of desire by the eradication of which the status of Cods can be acquired with easy.

The story of the fall of Brahma in the Hindu Puranas, which covers larger ground than the Biblical myth, is also an allegorical account of the psychic functions of the soul. It is, however, too long to be reproduced here in its entirety; but the student of mythology will find its interpretation easy, if he will bear in mind the numerous psychological aspects in which spirit appears in conjunction with matter, and which are personitied as gods and goddesses in the Puranas. In order, however, to explain the true nature of the intellect, we shall give the ending of the legend in a somewhat abridged form The Biblical account of the 'Fall' stops short with the utterance of the curses, and is, therefore, likely to mislead one as regards the true position of the intellect which is depicted there in its worst aspect. As a matter of fact redemption or emancipation is simply out of the question without its guidance, since it is the only instrument of knowledge in the condition of the "fall."

But the weakness of the intellect lies in the fact that it has no will of its own, and may not refuse to serve the ego in any way he pleases. For this reason, the Biblical legend makes the woman eat the forbidden fruit only at the will of her husband though she had an opportunity of doing so earlier by herself It is thus clear that it is not the intellect which is the mischief-maker in the world, but the power of choice which determines the nature of the work the intellect is required to perform for the will As the faculty of discrimination. its function is only to impart knowledge to the ego. or will, but the kind of knowledge which it is required to impart is to be selected not by itself, but by the will As we might use a lantern to light our footsteps to a place of worship or to a gambling den, so might we employ our intellect, to impart to us the wisdom which leads to bliss. or to instruct us on matters whose knowledge is fraught only with suffering and pain. As a matter of fact, whenever and wherever the intellect has been employed in the right direction, it has never failed to lead the ego to the highest heights of glory and power, and, finally, also to Nirvana Its influence is pernicious and harmful only when the ego employs it exclusively to define and determine the relation of its body with other bodies in the world Hence, the lesson to be learnt from the profound teaching of the Bible is that if the error of setting up the body in place of the Man be avoided, and the intellect employed to further the progress of the soul instead of to pander to the will, it ceases to have evil influence and becomes the most potent instrument for good

It is worth any amount of trouble to understand that faith cannot possibly be acquired without a proper use of the intellect, since nothing but Reason is capable of destroying our doubts. It is true that testimony is also capable of affording a temporary sort of satisfaction : but, since it is impossible for it to cover all possible points, and since its worth, reliability and interpretation have to be determined before its acceptance, it is not within its pale to remove all doubts he who depends on testimony is like the man, who builds his house on sand. It is for this reason that schisms arise endlessly when people begin to rely on the word of mouth of the founders of their faiths to the exclusion of rational thought. Even the satisfaction, which testimony seems to afford is more apparent than real, because knowledge is like food, which must be digested in order to become ours. It is no use to us if somebody else eats the food, not even when it is done Brahmanalike -- in the name and for the benefit of another. Just so with knowledge. Testimony is not only usually incapable of affording a solid foundation for faith, but also goes to make the confusion worse confounded since at at once opens the door to a whole host of questions as to the possible interpretation and explanation of the statements made, to say nothing of the reliability and trustworthiness of the witnesses from whom they emanate And, since it is not possible to settle the differences of opinion conclusively, without the discovery of the nature of things and the laws of Nature, intellect, and intellect alone, is the final judge and the sole arbitrator of the disputes of men, in the first instance

We can now follow the Puranic legend without difficulty The story goes that Brahma, desirous of performing a sacrifice, once upon

<sup>\*</sup> Cf. "To Clear away each of these learthly influenceal and to distrust the world of becoming which is of itself wholly unworthy of confidence, and to have faith in God alone, who alone is in fruit trustworthy, requires a large and Ulympian understanding, one which is no longer enticed by our worldly interests" (Philo's Contribution to Religion Dr. H. A. Kennedy, v. 126)

a time, proceeded to Puskara, and made the necessary preparations. But his divine consort. Savitri, delayed in coming, and, though the hour for the yajna approached nigh, she was not to be found by the side of her Lord. Incensed at her conduct, the god asked Indra to find him another bride, and the latter promptly brought the lovely milkmaid, Gayatri, who carried a jar of hutter in her hand Brahms called her the Mother of Vedas. and was united to her. Just then Savitri appeared on the scene. and, enraged at the sight of her smiling rival, pronounced diverse curses on the gods who had taken part in the ceremony. She then walked away, leaving the gods in a state of consternation. The young bride, thereupon, herself modified the curses of her divine rival, and promised all kinds of blessings, including final absorption into him, to all the worshippers of her Lord. Finally, Visnu and Lakshmi brought back the angry Savitri, when Gayatri threw herself at her feet Upon this Savitri, having raised and embraced her, said.

"Since the virtuous wife will do nothing to displease her husband, therefore let us both be attached to Brahmā "

Gayatri, too, bashfully murmured in reply .

"Thy orders will I aiways obey, and esteem thy friendship precious as my lifethy daughter am I, O goddess' deign to protect me."

The explanation of this legend lies in the psychological functions of the will, personified as gods and goddesses in the Puranas. There are two tendencies in the will which appear as intuition and intellect. As Bergson says

"The two tendencies, at first implied in each other, had to separate in order to grow. They both went to seek their fortune in the world, and turned out to be instinct and intelligence. Life, that is to say, consciousness launched into matter, thred its attention either on its own movements or on the matter it was passing through, and it has thus been turned either in the direction of intuition, or in that of intellect"—(1) value Einlation)

Intuition is the sense which gives rise to immediate self-awareness, and in the highest sense means omniscience pure and

simple, but intellect is the faculty which chiefly studies and deals with matter and form. In the Puranas the former is personsified as the goddess, and the latter as the milkmaid. The jar of butter which Gsyatri carries in her hand indicates her nature, for intellect extracts principles from experience, as one extracts butter from milk Being the two distinct tendencies of will opersonified as Brahma; they are described as the two wives of the god. But, ance intuition has the preference over intellect, therefore, Gayatri is made to fall at the feet of her divine rival. However, since intellect is the only means of knowledge in the condition of the fall, tap personification is described as the Mother of Vedas (interally, knowledge).

Again, masmuch as intellect alone establishes the divinity of the soul and thereby leads it to Self-realization, and since Self-realization means the freedom of the soul from bondage and pain, therefore, intellect itself is said to have modified the curses of its rival.

We give below the curses pronounced by Savitri on some of those who took part in the ceremony, and their modifications as made by her rival, together with their interpretation. Should any difficulty be experienced with these interpretations, they should be read again after the perusal of Chapters ix, x, and x1

should be read again after the perusar of Chapters ix, x, and xi					
Name of the god or goddess cursed.	Nature of the curse	Nature of the modification.	Interpretation.		
Brahmä .	Not to be worship- ped in a temple or sacred place.	Brahma may cease to be worshipped, but his worship- pers shall obtain all kinds of bless- ings, including final absorption into him.	Brahma is the revealed aspect of that which is the unmanifested, hence the personnifested, better the personnifested the test of the test		

Name of the god or goddess cursed.	Nature of the curse.	Nature of the modification	Interpretation
			a world-God; but since the soul is the true Redeemer itself, those who worship their own Self obtain all kinds of blessings, including the final release from the samsura
Indra	To be bound in chains by his enemies, and to be confined in a strange country. He is also to lose his city and station	He shall not remain in bondage for ever, and shall be released by his son	nders is life, which waves and wanes in power, seconding to circumstances and behefs. Its enemies are the different kinds of larme force, or desires The atrange country is the realm of matter, and signifies the change of the signifies the change. The Liberator of the soul, et al. Life, or Jira, is Wisdom, personhed as the son of the god
Agnı	To be a devourer of all things, clean and un- clean	*The unclear things shall become pure at his mere touch	Agni is the personification of the fire of '.pav(asceticism) which purifies all things Hence, the curse and its modification
Visnu	To be born amongst men and also to endure the agony of having his wife taxis hed from him by his enemies	He shall regain his wife eventually	Vi-nu is the personification of diagrams of junus which in-carriales amongst men. His entirely a ground the second of the second
5N a	To be deprived of his manhood	The loss of man- hood shall not in- terfer, with the worship of the	which insists on ceilbacy, hence, the god is to lose his manhood. But mudity as a

<sup>&</sup>quot;Agains here described as the devourer of all things, clean and unclean, because it is only when 'he effect of its good (the clean) and evil (the unclean) actions is burnt up by the fire of asceticism remediage) that the soul attains to niralno.

Name of the god or goddess cursed	Nature of the curse	Nature of the modification	Interpretation,
		lingum, as the symbol of the god.	mark of santahip is a worshipful quality; for there are nangul inaked saints in several religious. Hence the worship of the langum in association with the yors, is the symbol of joy, which further explains Glyatr's modification.
Lakshmi	Not to remain sta- tionary in one place, and to constantly abide by the vile, the inconstant, the contemptible, the simple, the cruel, the foolish and the barbarian		The goddess personifies pros- perity or wealth, and her nature is only too well-defined in the curse of Savitri to need any further explanation. She may also signify the soul which is the special care of Dharma (Vignu)
The wives of gods collective- ly	To remain barren and never to en- joy the pleasure of having chil- dren.	No regret is to be felt for the mabi- lity to bear chil- dren	The goddesses are the personi- fications of certam abstract qualities, powers and virtues, and, as such, neither capable of begetting offspring nor of grieving for their barren- ness

The above explanation of the mythological account of the mythological account of the manage and the consequent 'fall' of Brahma, it is to be observed, furnishes a complete explanation of the nature of the faculty of intellect, and describes how moksha cannot be attained without it

The story of Eather in the Old Testament of the Holy Bible would seem to be an exact counterpart of the marriage of Brahma in the Hindu Furanas. The story is that a certain king. Abasuerus by name, had a queen who was called Vashti. The king took to drinking and abandoned himself to feasting and reverly. Upon this the good queen refused to attend upon him, or to do his bidding. The king then chose Esther, a fair Jewess, who had been adopted by one Mordecia and brought up by him. The principal man at the

court of King Ahasuerus was one Haman who was respected by all except Mordecai, who had not revealed his connection with Eather Mordecai used to sit at the gate of the palace. where everyhody but he would get up to honour Haman when he passed. This irritated Haman. He began to devise means for ruining Mordecai. and in his hatred tried to encompass the destruction of the entire tribe and community of Jews Mordecai, coming to know of the evil designs of Haman, appealed to Esther to plead for the Jews. but she complied with the request in great fear, for she could not annear before the king unless he wished to see her She invited the king and Haman to a banquet at her place, and repeated the invitation for the next day. In the meanwhile Haman's preparations, were progressing. But during the intervening night the king read in the Chronicles how Mordecai had saved his life from the hands of two assassing who sat at his door, and had not been rewarded till then When Haman appeared next morning before the king, the latter at once made him the unwilling agent for honouring Mordecai. Finally, at the earnest prayer of Esther, who revealed the plot for the destruction of Mordecai to him the monarch gave authority to the Jews to destroy Haman and his people Thus did Mordecai triumph over the hateful plotting rival.

The significance of this story can be worked out with the following correspondences:

Ahasuerus-the ego;

Queen Vashti-omniscience;

Esther—the Intellect (that cannot appear before the ego unless he desire her presence):

Mordeai—(who brought up Intellect) Dharma, who had saved the life of the king against the machinations of two of his door-keepers, i.e., false belief and the world:

Haman-the lower ego;

Jews-the attributes of a pure soul.

King Ahasuerus thus stands for the ego who has acquired the right faith, that is to say, who has with the help of Dharma (Mordecai) escaped from false belief and the world. But Haman THE FALL 131

the lower ego cannot stand the sight of Mordeca, who naturally has no respect for him. Through Haman's machinations the entire community of the Jews (the attributes of the pure soul) are in danger of destruction. But Mordecai is equal to the occasion; with the co-operation of Esther whom he had brought up (that is to say, of the Intellect inclined towards Dharmal Haman's downfall is achieved Esther's banquet naturally implies an intellectual feast, which sets the king athinking, and he soon comes to the conclusion that Dharma is his saviour, and should be honoured and acclaimed. The enemies of Mordecat, that is to say, Haman and his party (who represent the attributes of the lower ego), are thus destroyed through the hands of the Jews (the natural divine virtues of the soul)!

To revert to the legend of the 'Fall,' the allegorical account of the sons of Adam, given in the fourth chapter of the book of Genesis also makes it perfectly clear that emancipation c. mot be attained except through the agency of the Intellect. Eve. i.e. the Intellect, conceives and brings forth Abel and Cain, who are incompatible by nature, so much so that the former is ultimately murdered by his brother Now, Cam is reason which deals with inert matter by the dissecting, analysing and classifying processes of induction; hence, he is described as the tiller of soil which is a symbol for matter. But Abel is Faith which is directed towards Life itself. He is, therefore, described as the keeper of sheep, the symbol of live-stock, hence life. The Lord loves Faith, but is less inclined towards Reason, which can primarily only offer him the produce of matter as an offering. Hence, the offering of Abel, that is, the unfoldment of such divine attributes as meekness, mercy and the like, is acceptable to the Lord in preference to that of Cain. This unsets Reason, which makes short work of Faith and destroys it. The curse pronounced by the all-knowing God describes the principal features of Reason as distinguished from blind Faith. The riddle of the universe is a source of worry to Reason, hence is the ground not to yield her strength unto it. Another characteristic of induction is a constant wandering in search of experience, hence is Cain to become a fugitive

Again, because all the mischief\* that exists in the world is the outcome of Reason, it is called a vagabond too. Finally, because Reason alone is affected by sleep, it is said to become a dweller in the "Land of Nod"

Cain's supplication to the Lord is also symbolical of the nature of Resson. Its punishment is more than it can bear. Every one who discovers its real nature is likely to abhor it, since it leads to trouble and bondage, and entangles one in the cycle of births and deaths It, therefore, fears to be despised. Hence, Cain is made to say: "And it shall come to pass, that every one that findeth me shall slav me." But, masmuch as in the absence of Faith, Reason, if rightly employed, is alone capable of re-establishing the state of at-one-ment between man and God, by establishing the divinity of the soul he who refuses to be guided by Reason, in the condition of the 'Fall,' must remain ignorant of his true nature and entangled in the vole of births and deaths. Hence, the Lord is made to say. "Therefore, whosoever slaveth Cain, vengeance shall be taken on him sevenfold" Lastly, the statement . "From thy face shall I be hid" is also fully appropriate to Cain as representing Reason. Taken in a literal sense, it is simply absurd to say that anything can be hid from the face of an omniscient God from whom nothing can be concealed; but, philosophically, omniscience and reason are two opposite aspects of the one and the same thing, namely, the soul, the former being the function of pure spirit, and the latter an attribute of the ego involved in impurity. For this reason, so long as the soul remains in the condition of impurity it cannot exercise its natural function of omniscience, but when the impurities are removed, reason is replaced with all-embracing knowledge and Godhood attained. Hence divinity and reason cannot co-exist together, which explains the speech of Cain

The third son of Adam is Seth, which means the appointed, that is, he who was appointed to take the place of the murdered Abel (i.e., blind faith), hence, wisdom Seth figures as

<sup>\*</sup> It is interesting to note, as Schopenhauer points out, that in acknowledging a fault we endeavour to father it on the head in preference to the heart, thus secrificing away reason to defend good intention.

the Messiah in later Jewish tradition (Encyclo. Brit., 11th Ed., Art. Seth); and Enos (Man) is the son of Seth who calls himself (by the name of) the Lord.\*

Let us pause for a moment to explain the significance of the expression 'the knowledge of good and evil' as used in the Bible. Every one knows that good and evil' are only comparative terms, neither of which signifies anything in particular in itself, but, when taken in relation to some specific thing, they convey the idea of utility, benefit or advantage, in the one case, and of uselessness, harm, or disadvantage, in the other. That which determines the good or evil of any particular thing, at any particular time, is, in the first instance, our own body, or personality, or body, in a beneficial, or advantageous, manner, we call it good, and, in the converse case, evil. Hence, 'knowledge of good and evil' means the appraisement of the values of things from the point of view of the pleasure or pain they are capable of causing to us individually.

It will be now obvious that the Bible does not condemn the intellect itself, but only its employment for the gratification of sensual appetency. The third and fourth chapters of the book of Genesis taken together, cover the entire field of the Hindu legend given above, and point to the power of the intellect itself, when rightly employed, to raise up the fallen humanity.

The lesson to be learnt from the doctrine of the "fall" is that those who aspire to attain to the status of Gods, to enjoy everlasting bliss, must make up their minds to control the flery serpent of their desiring manus. They must also see that they do not make their intellect spend all its energy in pandering to the vanities, passions and tastes of the physical body, the seat of false personality; but should apply it to study the requirements of the soul, the real, i.e., immortal man.

<sup>\*</sup> See the marginal note to Genesis, IV 26

<sup>†</sup> Ct. "It is things out of place that are bad, not things in themselves. All evil is relative, and its relation is wun higher forms of goodness"—" Reason and Belief, by Sir Oliver Lodge, p. 140

Man alone, of all beings, in the world, is endowed with the capacity, and enjoys the opportunity, to think of his destiny. He alone has the power of shaping his future, for weal or woe, as he pleases. But this capacity is so hopelessly crippled by his wrong desires, the worst of which is the desire for the sensual knowledge of good and evil of the phenomenal world, that unless he can crush the head of the hydra of his desiring manas, he has no right to hope for salvation.

Man's physical concerns may, and, indeed, do come to an end with the physical death; but the continuity of the soul, after death, requires that the physical concerns alone should not be allowed to cocupy the uppermost place in his thoughts Sir Oliver Lodge is probably the first European of our times to get a partial inkling of the truth of the doctrine of the "fall"; but he seems to place the emphasis on the "management of the world" rather than on 'self-conquest. Concerning the origin of self-consciousness, he observes —

"How it all arose is a legitimate problem for genetic psychology, but to the plan man it in a putile, our ancestors invented legends to account for it—legends of apples and serpents and the like, but the fact is there, however it be accounted for The truth embedded in that old Genesis legend is deep, it is the legend of main's avakening from a merely animal life to consciousness of good and evil, as longer obeyrag his primal instincts in a state of thoughtlessness and innocency a state in which deliberate view was impossible and therefore higher and purposed goodness also impossible,—it was the introduction of a new sense into the world, the sense of conscience, the power of deliberate to choece, the power also of conscious guidance, the management of things and people external to immedif, for preconcived ends. Man was beginning to cease to be merely a passenger on the planet, controlled by outside forces, it is said the reass were then for the first time being placed in his hands, as if he was allowed to begin to steer, to govern his own that and destiny, and to take over some considerable part of the management of the world." (Life and Matter).

No doubt, a man brought up in an atmosphere full of worldly politics cannot but lay stress on the "management of the world." but religion only accepts those who are prepared to give up worldly politics for the sake of self-conquest. For the less advanced souls, religion does not altogether forbid political activity, but only makes it subordinate to spiritual evolution so that the world-conquest may

THE FALL 135

not interfere with the conquest of the (lower) self. It is no use denying the fact that our so-called taking part in the management of the world has hitherto been a very unsatisfactory affair, and whatever we may say in our own praise, or in that of our civilization, it is abundantly clear that no such praise is merited, even if we do not deserve a strong condemnation for our behaviour towards animals and, in many instances, towards our own race. It is true that we can point out our material accomplishments to Mother Nature with a modest pride in our achievements, but she can always retort by directing our attention to those natural forces and means of which we are almost wholly ignorant even today. What are our railways and telegraphs to the faculties of telepathy and clairvoyance lying dormant within our souls? What happiness have they brought to the race, or to any one at all? All our vaunted boasts get ultimately reduced to this that in some instances we have succeeded both as individuals and as nations in amassing large fortunes, and in devising various means for squandering them at expensive hotels and card-tables. and on sickening carcasses of animals and intoxicating drinks. to say nothing of other degenerate forms of living only too nauseating to be specifically mentioned. These are practically, the limits of our culture, whether we spell it with a c or a big K. But surely, it is only by a mere perversity of sense and language that one may claim the modern civilization to be the outcome of the Sermon on the Mount. In very truth, it is the violation, in every essential, of the Master's philosophy that has brought about the culmination of the modern times which it pleases us to call civilization. Without violating in letter, as well as in spirit, the Messianic command, "But whosever shall smite thee on the right cheek, turn to him the other also, 'no one could have ever dreamt of subjugating the nations of the world , nor without trampling down the equally forcible mandate. "Lay not up for yourselves treasures upon earth," could any one amass wealth The truth is that man's power of speech enables him to disguise his true feelings, not only from his fellow-beings, but, quite as often, from himself. Failing to understand the nature of the ideal set before the world by the great Propounders of Religion. the modern man seeks to hide the cancer of unhappiness at his heart

by impotent sentimentality and self-deceiving ideas of his own importance and morality. The richest nation on earth may possess the most enormous amount of wealth, its country may be very beautiful to look at, and it may boast of all the luxuries of life which the ingenuity of man has ever put at the disposal of wealth, yet the question arises and must be asked, what real happiness has been conferred upon the people constituting it? The answer in the negative is so self-evident that one need not take the trouble to record it. It is not that we deny the great advantages of such institutions as the school, the hospital and the poor-house; but, in very truth, these very institutions furnish evidence condemnatory of our civilization Our schools impart education, it is true, but it is also true that the education they impart tends not to advance the cause of individual happiness, but leads to atheism, impiety and godlessness. The increasing necessity for hospitals and poor-houses goes to indicate that people do not live in harmony with Nature, and, consequently, suffer from poverty and disease. The greatest defect of materialism is that it prevents us from the realization of our divine nature, by unduly developing the objective and sensuous sides of life. The following comparative table, taken from Hudson's 'Divine Pedigree of Man.' will be found sufficient to show the godly nature of the subjective side of Life, from the realization of which we are at present debarred by our ungodly materialism :-

Objective Mind	Subjective Mind.
Inductive reasoning. Deductive reasoning (imper- fect. fect. Brain memories of emotional experiences	Instruct or insultion Controlled by suggestion.  Deductive reasoning (potentially perfect) Memory ipotentially perfect. Seat of emotions. Telepathic powers. Telepathic energy.

Some writers on New Psychology mention an additional faculty, namely, that of clairyovance as belonging to the subjective mind; but Hudson does not assign to it a separate place in the table. holding that its phenomena are only telepathic in reality. Whether clairvoyance, be regarded as a separate faculty of the subjective mind or not, the list is sufficient to convince any one, at a glance, that the nature of the human soul is essentially divine. It is to be remembered that the subjective mind is the higher consciousness that is manifested when the lower or the Objective mind is annulled, as in hypnotism, and that even this wonder-working mind is not quite the same thing as a pure and purified spirit, so that when due allowance is made for its impurities and limitations the soul will be found to be a repository of all godly virtues and powers that only need unfoldment to bloom into perfection. By far a vast majority of mankind, however, are quite unconscious of the godly powers of their souls, and, therefore, unable to attain the perfection which is within their reach, since conscious exertion is necessary for spiritual evolution, and since conscious exertion can only be made in the case of things which are known. Our ignorance of these great powers and virtues of the soul is to be attributed, as already stated, to the employment of the intellect to pander to the will. Reason being, thus, the tenure by which man holds his free moral agency, and the power which enables him to train his soul, for weal or wor it is not difficult to see why its employment as a procuress to the will has been described in the old Genesis legend as a 'fall,' Those who deride the ancient Indian civilization should remember that that much derided civilization was founded upon the spiritual requirements and necessities of individual life and society, and was calculated, on the one hand, not only to offer no resistance to the human soul in its spiritual evolution, but, also, to actually advance and facilitate its progress. and, on the other, to secure the greatest good of the greatest numbers, even in respect of material peace and prosperity, in so far as these are consistent with the spiritual aspirations of the real man. The same statement cannot be made in respect of modern civilization, which, if anything, is anti-spiritual in its tendency.

It is no use minimising the pernicious and harmful nature of the influence which the present-day civilisation is exerting on the souls of men, since it tends to make them disregard the teaching of religion which alone is the path of what has been described as entering into life What with its fashions and conventions, its licensed saloons and drinking places, its niceties and novelties in food and dress, to say nothing of the thousand and one other forms of the anti-spiritual occupations and pursuits which it provides for its votaries, the modern civilization is calculated only to pamper sensualism at the cost of the spiritual nourishment of the soul. The one most marked feature of distinction between the two forms of civilization, the ancient and the modern, consists in the cost of living which is going up daily, and which the majority of men find it hard to meet, in spite of devoting their whole-time labour to its procurement. Such being the case, it is not surprising that people should have neither time nor inclination for the study of religion, not to mention the practising of those methods and means which alone lead to the attainment of the ideal of the soul. The path of sensualism another though less repulsive name for animalism-is directly opposed to that of spiritualism, and it requires no great familiarity with the canons of Logic to predict that if the latter be the only means of attaining to the perfection of Gods, the former cannot possibly lead to aught but suffering and pain. The ancients could undoubtedly have given us a civilization equal to our own, but they very well knew that the moment prominence was given to the enjoyment of the senses, the cart would necessarily come to occupy the place of the horse, and, therefore, wisely kept down all those sensual tendencies of men which constantly try to break loose in the name of refinement and culture. We may refine sensualism as much as we like, but it will never be come anything else. As black takes no other hue, so does, sensualism maintain its loathsomeness, not with standing all the veneer of cultured refinement under which we constantly try to conceal its hideous nature.

To conclude, the elucidation of the legend of the 'fall' has shown us that it is not an historical record of the actual doings of a primitive pair of human progenitors, but an illustration of the opera-

tion of certain important psychic laws, which no one desirous of attaining immortality and bliss can afford to ignore The object of the ancient teacher who took pains to leave a record of his views behind was not to amuse us with a nursery tale, nor yet to furnish us with an opportunity to smile at his 'crude and childish simplicity,' but to bequeath to us some of the most valuable secrets of Religion, the Science of all sciences. The thirst for happiness is a natural craving with all living beings, the man who does not long, consciously or otherwise, for the joy of the Gods is yet to be born. The ancient seen knew this full well, and left a record of his views on the subject for our guidance. What we have been accustomed to look upon as an historical narrative of Adam's disobedience and punishment is, thus, a recipe for the general complaint of suffering and pain, from which all are anxious to escape. This remedy consists, as has been demonstrated in this chapter, in the realization of the Godhood of the soul, that is divine through and through and all over

A word about the nature of the flaming sword and the cherubim that har man's way to the Tree of Life, and we shall pass on to a consideration of the doctrine of Redemption. The former represents the lower mind, the ego of desires and lusts which it seeks to gratify with the sensory stimulus from the external world. As restlessness is a characteristic of this mind on account of its being the seat of desires, it is described as turning in every direction. It should be borne in mind that mind is a clumsy word to be employed for the idea which is intended to be conveyed. The Sanskrit manas is the most appropriate word for expressing the sense. It means that organ which prevents knowledge from being acquired simultaneously, and which acts as a gate-keeper at a show who lets in only one at a time. The cherubim apparently

<sup>&</sup>quot;The nearest equivalent to manar in English is attention, which limits our perception of things to one at a time only, in other words, which prevents our taking organizance of things simultaneously. If we study ourselves in the attitude of attention, we shall observe that our senses do not work simultaneously and together, so that when the mind is linked to a particular sense-organ, it ceases to work through the remaining channels of sensation. The same is the case with thinking, which also require activative attention being paid to its object, and even the expensees of pleasure and pain are no exception to this rule. Attention, then, is the instrument

stand for and represent sense attractions. It is, thus, the manus and the attractions of the world which are mounting guard over the priceless Tree of Life Immortality is the reward of him who overnowers them both, and reaches the Life-giving Tree

of limitation or exclusion of knowledge. The soul is like a mirror which reflects all although which evant at the same time, but it is attention which debant us if non taking cognisance of them all at once, and confines the perceptive function to that in which we happen to be the most interested for the time being it follows from this that our interests alone determine the functioning of perception and prevent us from

We have said that attention signifies interest, but interest itself is nothing other than desire, since we are only interested in things which we have a desire to acquire or empty, or which we wish to avoid. The force of desire, then, is what is meant by attention, i.e., manos.

It is also easy to see that desires are only different kinds of forces, since they drag us after their objects—often agains due the try quient. They cannot be immaterial altogether, for the conception of a non-entity op-rating on the soul, and dragging it in certain directions, thus, cripping its perception and narrowing down its field of knowledge, is a self-contradictory idea. It is saif the soul were possessed of a perceiving instrument, or red—a kind of psyche monocle—to survey the world with. This mental monocle is the manne, and, since it is only the sharpe of of desires, its material shape may be kineded to a penci of raws, coaverging to a point Attention, then, signifies the current of different kinds of forces of desire, brought to a point and focus-sed on the object of enquiry. Its form thus resembles that of a serpent which, on account of its crookedness and evil nature, is about the most suitable symbol for desire Hence, be who would sequire omissioner must carb down his desire, so that his soul may put usede its knowledge-obstructing instrument of perception of which it is enamoured at present

"The cherubim, who are to be distinguished by their knowledge from seraphim who distinctive quality is love, probably represent the discriminative knowledge of good and evil of things and are thus symbolical of sense-attractions. From another point of view, the cherubim represent the four elements, hence, matter (see Chap X postry